## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

Case No. 5:22-cv-01152-SSS-KKx		Date	December 14, 2022
Title David Jackson v. MS Services, LLC, et al.			
Honorable	SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JU		S DISTRICT JUDGE
Irene Vazquez		Not 1	Reported
Irene Vazquez Deputy Clerk			Reported Reporter
			1
		Court	1
,	Jackson v. MS	Jackson v. MS Services, LLC, et al.	Jackson v. MS Services, LLC, et al.

## Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause re Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution

Generally, each Defendant must answer or otherwise respond to the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the Defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 12(a)(1).

In the present case, it appears that Plaintiff served the summons and complaint on one or more Defendants more than 21 days ago (60 days if the Defendant is the United States), but such Defendant(s) did not file an answer or otherwise respond to the complaint. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders Plaintiff to show cause in writing on or before **December 28, 2022**, why this action should not be dismissed, with respect to each Defendant who has not filed an answer or otherwise responded to the complaint. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. This Order to Show Cause will be discharged if Plaintiff files, before the deadline set forth above, a request for the entry of default with respect to the applicable Defendant(s). Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 55(a).

## IT IS SO ORDERED.